Your introduction is well-written. However, you don't set up the context for gaming vs work, or any of the two, specifically. Instead, you focus on the history of RAM. But your research question is about gaming and work applications, so your context needs to set this up. Shorten the history part and talk about how RAM is relevant for intense games, versus Word processing, versus Rendering et cetera.

You have all the relevant info in your paper, but it is scattered across the different chapters: you explain parts of your comparative analysis in chapter 2, but not everything. When I get to chapter 3, I can't actually read half of the table since you haven't introduced the concepts, saying that you will do that in chapter 4. No! Explain ALL your measurements in chapter 2. In chapter 3, ONLY explain what we see in the graphs, don't add new external information.

In chapter 4, ONLY discuss your findings in regards to your research question. You didn't do this- instead, you again introduced new sources and new information- information that the reader needed two chapters ago.

Sources were plenty, but no scientific papers were included. This would have been possible to include especially on the history and workings of RAM. Your language is very colloquial, it needs to be more objective.